

Almost-bipartite distance-regular graphs with the Q -polynomial property ^{*}

Michael S. Lang and Paul M. Terwilliger

31 August 2004

Abstract

Let Γ denote a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 4$. Assume that the intersection numbers of Γ satisfy $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq D - 1$ and $a_D \neq 0$. We show that Γ is a polygon, a folded cube, or an Odd graph.

1 Introduction

In this article we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let Γ denote a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. Assume that the intersection numbers of Γ satisfy $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq D - 1$ and $a_D \neq 0$. Then Γ is Q -polynomial if and only if at least one of (i)–(iv) holds below.*

- (i) Γ is the $(2D + 1)$ -gon.
- (ii) Γ is the folded $(2D + 1)$ -cube.
- (iii) Γ is the Odd graph on a set of cardinality $2D + 1$.
- (iv) $D = 3$ and there exist complex scalars β and μ such that the intersection numbers of Γ satisfy

$$k = 1 + (\beta^2 - 1)(\beta(\beta + 2) - (\beta + 1)\mu), \quad (1)$$

$$c_2 = \mu, \quad (2)$$

$$c_3 = -(\beta + 1)(\beta^2 + \beta - 1 - (\beta + 1)\mu). \quad (3)$$

The following remarks refer to Theorem 1.1.

***Keywords:** Distance-regular graph, association scheme, subconstituent algebra.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05E30; Secondary 05E35, 05C50.

Remark 1.2 Suppose that (iv) holds. Then $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ is a Q -polynomial ordering of the eigenvalues of Γ , where

$$\theta_0 = 1 + (\beta^2 - 1)(\beta(\beta + 2) - (\beta + 1)\mu), \quad (4)$$

$$\theta_1 = (\beta + 1)(\beta^2 + \beta - 1 - \beta\mu), \quad (5)$$

$$\theta_2 = \beta^2 + \beta - 1 - (\beta + 1)\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$\theta_3 = 1 - \beta - \beta^2. \quad (7)$$

Remark 1.3 Γ is the 7-gon if and only if (iv) holds with $\mu = 1$ and $\beta \in \{\omega + \omega^{-1}, \omega^2 + \omega^{-2}, \omega^3 + \omega^{-3}\}$, where ω is a primitive 7th root of unity. Γ is the folded 7-cube if and only if (iv) holds with $\mu = 2$ and $\beta \in \{-2, 2\}$. Γ is the Odd graph on a set of cardinality 7 if and only if (iv) holds with $\mu = 1$ and $\beta = -2$.

Remark 1.4 Suppose that (iv) holds but none of (i)–(iii) do. Then β is unique, integral and less than -2 . We know of no graph for which this occurs.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ denote a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set X , edge set R , path-length distance function ∂ , and diameter $D := \max\{\partial(x, y) : x, y \in X\}$. Let k denote a nonnegative integer. We say Γ is *regular* with *valency* k whenever for all $x \in X$, $|\{z \in X : \partial(x, z) = 1\}| = k$. We say Γ is *distance-regular* whenever for all integers h, i, j ($0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$) and all $x, y \in X$ with $\partial(x, y) = h$, the scalar $p_{ij}^h := |\{z \in X : \partial(x, z) = i, \partial(y, z) = j\}|$ is independent of x and y . For notational convenience, set $c_i := p_{1i-1}^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq D$), $a_i := p_{1i}^i$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$), $b_i := p_{1i+1}^i$ ($0 \leq i \leq D-1$), $c_0 := 0$ and $b_D := 0$. For the rest of this section, suppose that Γ is distance-regular. We observe that Γ is regular with valency $k = b_0$. Further, we observe $c_i + a_i + b_i = k$ for $0 \leq i \leq D$.

We recall the Bose-Mesner algebra. Let $\mathbb{R}$ denote the field of real numbers. By $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ we mean the $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra consisting of all matrices whose entries are in $\mathbb{R}$ and whose rows and columns are indexed by X . For each integer i ($0 \leq i \leq D$), let A_i denote the matrix in $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ with x, y entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Note that $A_0 = I$, the identity matrix. Abbreviate $A := A_1$. We call A the *adjacency matrix* of Γ . Let M denote the subalgebra of $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ generated by A . By [2, Theorem 20.7], $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$ is a basis for M . We call M the *Bose-Mesner algebra* of Γ .

By [3, Theorem 2.6.1], M has a second basis $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ such that $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq D$). We call $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ the *primitive idempotents* of Γ . Observe that there exists a sequence of scalars $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ taken from $\mathbb{R}$ such that $A = \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i E_i$. We call θ_i the *eigenvalue* of Γ associated with E_i ($0 \leq i \leq D$). Note that $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ are distinct since A generates M .

We recall the Q -polynomial property. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ denote an ordering of the eigenvalues of Γ . We say this ordering is Q -polynomial whenever there exists a sequence of real scalars $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_D$ and a sequence of polynomials $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_D$ with real coefficients such that q_j has degree j and $E_j = \sum_{i=0}^D q_j(\sigma_i) A_i$ for $0 \leq j \leq D$. In this case, $\theta_0 = k$ [3, Theorem 8.1.1]; we call θ_1 a Q -polynomial eigenvalue of Γ . We say that Γ is Q -polynomial whenever there exists a Q -polynomial ordering of its eigenvalues.

We recall what it means for Γ to be bipartite or almost-bipartite. We say Γ is *bipartite* whenever $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq D$. We say Γ is *almost-bipartite* whenever $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq D - 1$ but $a_D \neq 0$. (In the literature, such a Γ is also called a *generalized Odd graph* or a *regular thin near $(2D+1)$ -gon*.) For the rest of this section, assume that Γ is almost-bipartite.

We recall the *bipartite double* 2Γ . This graph has vertex set $\{x^+ : x \in X\} \cup \{y^- : y \in X\}$. For $x, y \in X$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \{+, -\}$, vertices x^γ and y^δ are adjacent in 2Γ whenever x and y are adjacent in Γ and $\gamma \neq \delta$. The graph 2Γ is bipartite and distance-regular with diameter $2D + 1$. Moreover, 2Γ is an antipodal 2-cover of Γ [3, Theorem 1.11.1(i),(vi)]. The intersection numbers k and c_2 are the same in 2Γ as in Γ [3, Proposition 4.2.2(ii)]. The set of eigenvalues for 2Γ consists of the eigenvalues of Γ together with their opposites [3, Theorem 1.11.1(v)]. The concept of an AO eigenvalue was introduced in [6]. A scalar θ is an AO eigenvalue of 2Γ if and only if θ is a Q -polynomial eigenvalue of Γ [6, Theorem 10.4].

3 Setup

Our strategy for proving Theorem 1.1 is to assume that (i)–(iii) do not hold and then prove that (iv) must.

Lemma 3.1 *Let Γ denote an almost-bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. Suppose that Γ is Q -polynomial but not as in Theorem 1.1(i)–(iii). Then Γ has a unique Q -polynomial eigenvalue.*

Proof: Since Γ is not a polygon, it has valency $k \geq 3$. Observe that 2Γ has diameter at least 7. Suppose that Γ has at least two Q -polynomial eigenvalues. Then 2Γ has at least two AO eigenvalues. Applying [6, Theorem 16.2], we find that 2Γ is the $(2D + 1)$ -cube. Thus Γ is the folded $(2D+1)$ -cube, contradicting the assumption that Theorem 1.1(ii) does not hold. $\square$

For the rest of this article, we use the following notation.

Notation 3.2 *Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ denote an almost-bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. Assume that Γ is Q -polynomial but not as in Theorem 1.1(i)–(iii). Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ denote the eigenvalues of Γ in their Q -polynomial order. Set*

$$\beta := \frac{\theta_0 - \theta_3}{\theta_1 - \theta_2} - 1. \quad (8)$$

4 Parameters

In this section, we recall some formulae for the intersection numbers and eigenvalues of Γ .

Lemma 4.1 [4, Lemma 15.2, Corollaries 15.4, 15.7, 15.8, Theorem 15.5] *With reference to Notation 3.2, there exist complex scalars q and s such that the intersection numbers and eigenvalues of Γ satisfy*

$$k = h(1 + sq), \quad (9)$$

$$c_i = \frac{h(1 - q^i)(1 + sq^{2D+2-i})}{q^i(q^{2D-2i+1} - 1)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D), \quad (10)$$

$$\theta_i = hq^{-i}(1 + sq^{2i+1}) \quad (0 \leq i \leq D), \quad (11)$$

where

$$h = \frac{q - q^{2D}}{(q - 1)(1 + sq^{2D+1})}. \quad (12)$$

Moreover,

$$q \neq 0, \quad (13)$$

$$q^i \neq 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2D), \quad (14)$$

$$sq^i \neq 1 \quad (2 \leq i \leq 2D), \quad (15)$$

$$sq^i \neq -1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2D + 1). \quad (16)$$

Corollary 4.2 *With reference to Lemma 4.1, we have*

$$\beta = q + q^{-1} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\theta_D = \frac{q^{1-D} - q^D}{q - 1}. \quad (18)$$

5 Restrictions

Throughout this section we refer to Notation 3.2 and Lemma 4.1. We obtain restrictions on the parameters q and s . Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the ring of integers; let $\mathbb{Q}$ denote the field of rational numbers.

Lemma 5.1 *We have $\theta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \leq i \leq D$.*

Proof: Suppose that there exists an integer i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) such that $\theta_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then Γ has a second Q -polynomial eigenvalue by [1, p. 360]. This contradicts Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Lemma 5.2 *We have $q^i + q^{-i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each positive integer i . In particular, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof: Define polynomials $T_0, T_1, \dots$ in a variable x by $T_0 = 2$, $T_1 = x$, $T_{i+1} = xT_i - T_{i-1}$ ($i \geq 1$). We routinely find that for $i \geq 1$,

$$T_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \quad T_i \text{ is monic,} \quad \text{and} \quad q^i + q^{-i} = T_i(\beta). \quad (19)$$

To finish the proof it suffices to show $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. To do this we show $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and β is an algebraic integer.

By (8) and Lemma 5.1 we find $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We now show that β is an algebraic integer. The right-hand side of (18) is equal to $-\sum_{i=1}^{D-1} q^i$. By this and (19), we find that β is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that β is an algebraic integer.

We have now shown $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and β is an algebraic integer, so $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The result follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.3 *We have $|\beta| > 2$. Moreover, $q \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof: Suppose $|\beta| \leq 2$. Since $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 5.2, we find that $|\beta|$ is 0, 1 or 2. We now use (17). If $|\beta| = 0$ then $q^4 = 1$. If $|\beta| = 1$ then $q^6 = 1$. If $|\beta| = 2$ then $q^2 = 1$. Each of these contradicts (14), so the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.4 *We may assume $q^2 > 1$.*

Proof: By (13), we have $q^2 \neq 0$. By (14), we have $q^2 \neq 1$. We now consider two cases.

First suppose $s = 0$. If $-1 < q < 0$ then using (10) we find $c_2 < 0$. If $0 < q < 1$ then using (9) we find $k < 0$. Each of these is a contradiction, so $q^2 > 1$ as desired.

Now suppose $s \neq 0$. If $q^2 < 1$, replace q by q^{-1} and s by s^{-1} . In light of (12), these substitutions leave (9)–(11) unchanged. Moreover, $q^2 > 1$ as desired. $\square$

Consider the quantity

$$\eta := -\frac{(q^2 + 1)(q^{2D} - q^3)}{q^{2D} - q^5}. \quad (20)$$

We show η to be an integer. To do this, we use the fact $s^2 q^{2D+3} \neq 1$. We obtain this fact using the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.5 *For $1 \leq i \leq D$ we have $(c_2 - 1)\theta_i^2 \neq (k - c_2)(k - 2)$.*

Proof: Suppose that there exists an integer i ($1 \leq i \leq D$) such that $(c_2 - 1)\theta_i^2 = (k - c_2)(k - 2)$. We mentioned earlier that θ_i is an eigenvalue of 2Γ and that the intersection numbers k and c_2 are the same in 2Γ as in Γ . Now by [5, Theorem 25], we find that 2Γ is 2-homogeneous in the sense of Nomura [7]. By assumption, 2Γ is not a cube. Now by [8, Theorem 1.2], the diameter of 2Γ is at most 5. Since this diameter is $2D + 1$, we find $D \leq 2$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 5.6 *We have*

$$(c_2 - 1)\theta_D^2 - (k - c_2)(k - 2) = \frac{(q^{2D} - 1)(q^{2D} - q^2)(q^{2D} - q)^2(s^2 q^{2D+3} - 1)}{q^{2D}(q - 1)^2(q^{2D} - q^3)(1 + s q^{2D+1})^2}.$$

Proof: Use Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Corollary 5.7 *We have $s^2q^{2D+3} \neq 1$.*

Proof: Combine Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6. □

Before proceeding, we recall the local graph Γ_2^2 .

Definition 5.8 Fix a vertex $x \in X$. The corresponding *local graph* Γ_2^2 is the graph with vertex set $\{y \in X : \partial(x, y) = 2\}$, where vertices y and z are adjacent in Γ_2^2 whenever $\partial(y, z) = 2$ in Γ .

Lemma 5.9 *Fix a vertex $x \in X$ and let Γ_2^2 denote the corresponding local graph from Definition 5.8. Then the scalar η from (20) is an eigenvalue of Γ_2^2 . Moreover, η is an algebraic integer.*

Proof: Our argument uses the subconstituent algebra of Γ . This object is introduced in [9]. We refer the reader to that paper and its continuations [10] and [11] for background and definitions.

Let $T = T(x)$ denote the subconstituent algebra of Γ with respect to x . By [4, Theorem 14.1] we find that, up to isomorphism, there exists at most one irreducible T -module with endpoint 2, dual endpoint 2 and diameter $D - 2$. By [4, Example 16.9(iv)] the multiplicity with which this module appears in the standard module is

$$\frac{(q^{2D} - 1)(q^{2D} - q^2)(1 + sq)(1 + sq^4)(s^2q^{2D+3} - 1)}{q(q + 1)(q - 1)^2(s^2q^{2D+4} - 1)(1 + sq^{2D})(1 + sq^{2D+1})}.$$

This number is nonzero by (13), (14), (16) and Corollary 5.7. Therefore, this module exists.

Let W denote an irreducible T -module with endpoint 2, dual endpoint 2 and diameter $D - 2$. The dimension of E_i^*W is 1 for $2 \leq i \leq D$ [4, (18), Lemma 10.3]. By construction, E_2^*W is an eigenspace for $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$. By [4, Definition 8.2] and using $A_2 = (A^2 - kI)/c_2$, we find that the corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\frac{c_1(W)b_0(W) - k}{c_2}, \tag{21}$$

where $c_1(W)$ and $b_0(W)$ are intersection numbers of W . Evaluating (21) using [4, Theorem 15.5], we find that it is equal to η . We conclude that η is an eigenvalue of Γ_2^2 .

Now η is an algebraic integer since it is an eigenvalue of a graph. □

We show $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$. To do this we use the following result.

Lemma 5.10 *We have*

$$\eta + \beta^2 - 1 = \frac{q^{2D} - q^9}{q^{2D+2} - q^7}. \tag{22}$$

Proof: Use (17) and (20). □

Lemma 5.11 *We have $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof: First assume $D = 3$. Then $\eta = -\beta(\beta + 1)$ by (17) and (20). Thus $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 5.2. Now assume $D \geq 4$. Observe by Lemma 5.9 that η is an algebraic integer. We show $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Observe that the right-hand side of (22) is equal to $-(\beta + 1)^{-1}$ for $D = 4$ and

$$\frac{\sum_{i=5-D}^{D-5} q^i}{\sum_{i=3-D}^{D-3} q^i}$$

for $D \geq 5$. By this and Lemma 5.2 we find that the right-hand side of (22) is in $\mathbb{Q}$. By this and since $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ we find $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and η is an algebraic integer so $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

6 Proof

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and the associated remarks.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Assume that Γ is Q -polynomial but none of (i)–(iii) hold. We show that Γ satisfies (iv).

We first show $D = 3$. On the contrary, suppose $D \geq 4$. For notational convenience, abbreviate $\xi := \eta + \beta^2 - 1$. Recall $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 5.2 and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 5.11, so $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (13), (14) and (22) we find $\xi \neq 0$. Thus $|\xi| \geq 1$. Evaluating $\xi^2 - 1$ using (22) and simplifying, we find $(q^4 - 1)(q^{14} - q^{4D}) \geq 0$. But since $q^2 > 1$ by Lemma 5.4, we find $(q^4 - 1)(q^{14} - q^{4D}) < 0$, for a contradiction. We have now shown $D = 3$.

Evaluating (9)–(12) using $D = 3$ and $\beta = q + q^{-1}$, we routinely obtain (1)–(3) and (4)–(7).

We have proved the theorem in one direction. We now show the converse. First assume that Γ satisfies one of (i)–(iii). That Γ is Q -polynomial is well known [3, Corollary 8.5.3]. Now assume that Γ satisfies (iv). We routinely find that the eigenvalues of Γ , in a Q -polynomial order, are given by (4)–(7). $\square$

Remarks 1.2 and 1.3 are verified routinely.

Proof of Remark 1.4: The Q -polynomial ordering of the eigenvalues is unique by Lemma 3.1, so β is unique by (8). It is an integer by Lemma 5.2.

We show $\beta < -2$. Recall that θ_1 is a Q -polynomial eigenvalue of Γ and thus is an AO eigenvalue of the bipartite double 2Γ . Observe that 2Γ has diameter 7. Since 2Γ is bipartite, we see by [3, p. 82] that half of the eigenvalues of 2Γ are positive and half are negative. By [6, Lemma 13.5], we find that θ_1 is the fifth- or seventh-largest of the eight eigenvalues of 2Γ . Thus $\theta_1 < 0$.

Recall $|\beta| > 2$ by Lemma 5.3; thus $\beta^2 + \beta - 1 > 0$. Observe $b_2 = (\beta^2 + \beta - 1)(\beta^2 + \beta - 1 - \beta\mu)$ by (1). By this and since $b_2 > 0$, we find $\beta^2 + \beta - 1 - \beta\mu > 0$. Combining this with (5), we find $\beta + 1 < 0$. In particular, $\beta < 0$. Now $\beta < -2$ in view of Lemma 5.3. $\square$

Acknowledgment. The first author was partially supported by a Bradley University Research Excellence Committee award.

References

- [1] Eiichi Bannai and Tatsuro Ito. *Algebraic combinatorics. I*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984. Association schemes.
- [2] Norman Biggs. *Algebraic graph theory*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1993.
- [3] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. *Distance-regular graphs*, volume 18 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] John S. Caughman, Mark S. MacLean, and Paul M. Terwilliger. The Terwilliger algebra of an almost-bipartite P - and Q -polynomial scheme. Preprint.
- [5] Brian Curtin. 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 187(1-3):39–70, 1998.
- [6] Michael S. Lang. A new inequality for bipartite distance-regular graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 90(1):55–91, 2004.
- [7] K. Nomura. Homogeneous graphs and regular near polygons. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 60(1):63–71, 1994.
- [8] K. Nomura. Spin models on bipartite distance-regular graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 64(2):300–313, 1995.
- [9] Paul Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme. I. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 1(4):363–388, 1992.
- [10] Paul Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme. II. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 2(1):73–103, 1993.
- [11] Paul Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme. III. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 2(2):177–210, 1993.